arXiv:1609.01642v1 [math.AP] 6 Sep 2016

POINTWISE CONVERGENCE OF MARCINKIEWICZ-FEJÉR MEANS OF DOUBLE VILENKIN-FOURIER SERIES

USHANGI GOGINAVA

Abstract. In this paper we give a characterization of points in which Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of double Vilenkin-Fourier series converges.

1. Introduction

Lebesgue's [14] theorem is well known for trigonometric Fourier series: the Fejér means $\sigma_n f$ of f converge to f almost everywhere if $f \in L_1([0,2\pi))$ (see also Zygmund [26]). The analogous result for Walsh-Fourier series is due to Fine [3]. Later Schipp [19] showed that the maximal operator σ^* of the Fejér means of the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series is of weak type (1,1), from which the a. e. convergence follows by standard arguments.

Marcinkievicz [15] verified for two-dimensional trigonometric Fourier series that the Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means

$$\sigma_n(f) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} S_{j,j}(f)$$

of a function $f \in L\log L([0,2\pi)\times[0,2\pi))$ converge a.e. to f as $n \to \infty$, where $S_{j,j}(f)$ denotes the cubic partial sums of the Fourier series of f. Later Zhizhiashvili [24, 25] extended this result to all $f \in L_1([0,2\pi)\times[0,2\pi))$. The analogous result for two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series is due to Weisz [21].

In the one-dimensional case the set of convergence is characterized with the help of Lebesgue points. It is known that a.e. point $x \in [0,2\pi)$ is a Lebesgue point of $f \in L_1([0,2\pi))$ and the Fejér means of the trigonometric Fourier series of $f \in L_1([0,2\pi))$ converge to f at each Lebesgue point (see Butzer and Nessel [2]). Weisz [20] introduced the notion of Walsh-Lebesgue points and proved the analogous results for Walsh-Fourier series.

For Vilenkin-Fourier series the author and Gogoladze [11] introduced the notion of Vilenkin-Lebesgue points and proved that Fejér means of the

[0]2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 42C10.

Key words and phrases: Vilenkin function, Pointwise summability, Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means, Lebesgue points.

The research was supported by Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation grant no.DI/9/5-100/13 (Function spaces, weighted inequalities for integral operators and problems of summability of Fourier series)

Vilenkin-Fourier series of $f \in L_1(G_m)$ converges to f at each Vilenkin-Lebesgue points. In this paper we will generalize these results for the Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of double Vilenkin-Fourier series and characterize the set of convergence of these means. We introduce the Marcinkiewicz-Lebesgue points and prove that a.e. point is a Marcinkiewicz-Lebesgue point of an integrable function f and the Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of the double Vilenkin-Fourier series of f converge to f at each Marcinkiewicz-Lebesgue point.

The problems of summability of cubical partial sums of multiple Fourier series have been investigated in ([4]-[13]).

2. Definitions and Notation

Let $\mathbb{N}_+$ denote the set of positive integers, $\mathbb{N} := \mathbb{N}_+ \cup \{0\}$. Let $m := (m_0, m_1, ...)$ denote a sequence of positive integers not less than 2. Denote by $Z_{m_k} := \{0, 1, ..., m_k - 1\}$ the additive group of integers modulo m_k. Define the group G_m as the complete direct product of the groups Z_{m_j}, with the product of the discrete topologies of Z_{m_j}'s. The direct product μ of the measures

$$\mu_k(\{j\}) := \frac{1}{m_k} \quad (j \in Z_{m_k})$$

is the Haar measure on G_m with $\mu(G_m) = 1$. If the sequence m is bounded, then G_m is called a bounded Vilenkin group. The elements of G_m can be represented by sequences $x := (x_0, x_1, ..., x_j, ...)$, $(x_j \in Z_{m_j})$. The group operation $+$ in G_m is given by $x + y = (x_0 + y_0 \,(\mathrm{mod} m_0), ..., x_k + y_k \,(\mathrm{mod} m_k), ...)$, where $x = (x_0, ..., x_k, ...)$ and $y = (y_0, ..., y_k, ...) \in G_m$. The inverse of $+$ will be denoted by $-$. In this paper we will consider only bounded Vilenkin group.

It is easy to give a base for the neighborhoods of G_m :

$$I_0(x) := G_m,$$

$$I_n(x) := \{y \in G_m | y_0 = x_0, ..., y_{n-1} = x_{n-1}\}$$

for $x \in G_m$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $I_n := I_n(0)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$. Set $e_n := (0, ..., 0, 1, 0, ...) \in G_m$ the n th coordinate of which is 1 and the rest are zeros $(n \in \mathbb{N})$.

If we define the so-called generalized number system based on m in the following way: $M_0 := 1, M_{k+1} := m_k M_k (k \in \mathbb{N})$, then every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ can be uniquely expressed as $n = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} n_j M_j$, where $n_j \in Z_{m_j}$ $(j \in \mathbb{N}_+)$ and only a finite number of n_j's differ from zero. We use the following notation. Let (for $n > 0$) $|n| := \max\{k \in \mathbb{N} : n_k \neq 0\}$ (that is, $M_{|n|} \leq n < M_{|n|+1}$).

Next, we introduce on G_m an orthonormal system which is called the Vilenkin system. At first define the complex valued functions $r_k(x) : G_m \to \mathbb{C}$, the generalized Rademacher functions in this way

$$r_k(x) := \exp\left(\frac{2\pi \imath x_k}{m_k}\right) \quad (\imath^2 = -1, \ x \in G_m, \ k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

It is known that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m_n-1} r_n^i(x) = \begin{cases} 0, \text{if } x_n \neq 0 \\ m_n, \text{ if } x_n = 0 \end{cases}. \tag{1}$$

Now define the Vilenkin system $\psi := (\psi_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ on G_m as follows:

$$\psi_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} r_k^{n_k}(x) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Specifically, we call this system the Walsh-Paley one if $m \equiv 2$.
The Vilenkin system is orthonormal and complete in $L^1(G_m)$ [1].

We consider the double system $\{\psi_n(x) \times \psi_m(y) : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ on $G_m \times G_m$. The notation $a \lesssim b$ in the whole paper stands for $a \leq cb$, where c is an absolute constant.

The rectangular partial sums of the double Vilenkin-Fourier series are defined as follows:

$$S_{M,N}(x, y; f) := \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \widehat{f}(i,j)\, \psi_i(x)\, \psi_j(y),$$

where the number

$$\widehat{f}(i,j) = \int_{G_m \times G_m} f(x,y)\, \psi_i(x)\, \psi_j(y)\, d\mu(x,y)$$

is said to be the (i,j)th Vilenkin-Fourier coefficient of the function f.

The norm (or quasinorm) of the space $L_p(G_m \times G_m)$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_p := \left(\int_{G_m \times G_m} |f(x,y)|^p\, d\mu(x,y) \right)^{1/p} \quad (0 < p < +\infty).$$

The space weak-$L_p(G_m \times G_m)$ consists of all measurable functions f for which

$$\|f\|_{\text{weak}-L_p(G_m \times G_m)} := \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \mu(|f| > \lambda)^{1/p} < +\infty.$$

The σ-algebra generated by the dyadic 2-dimensional $I_k \times I_k$ cube of measure $M_k^{-1} \times M_k^{-1}$ will be denoted by F_k $(k \in \mathbb{N})$. Denote by $f = \left(f^{(n)}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right)$ one-parameter martingales with respect to $(F_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ (for details see, e. g. [22]). The maximal function of a martingale f is defined by

$$f^* = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| f^{(n)} \right|.$$

In case $f \in L_1(G_m \times G_m)$, the maximal function can also be given by

$$f^*(x,y) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\mu(I_n(x) \times I_n(y))} \left| \int_{I_n(x) \times I_n(y)} f(t,u)\, d\mu(t,u) \right|,$$

$$(x,y)\in G_m\times G_m.$$

For $0<p<\infty$ the dyadic martingale Hardy space $H_p(G\times G)$ consists of all martingales for which

$$\|f\|_{H_p}:=\|f^*\|_p<\infty.$$

If $f\in L_1(G_m\times G_m)$ then it is easy to show that the sequence $(S_{M_n,M_n}(f):n\in\mathbb{N})$ is a martingale. If f is a martingale, that is $f=(f^{(0)},f^{(1)},...)$ then the Vilenkin-Fourier coefficients must be defined in a little bit different way:

$$\widehat{f}(i,j)=\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_{G_m\times G_m}f^{(k)}(x,y)\,\psi_i(x)\,\psi_j(y)\,d\mu(x,y).$$

The Vilenkin-Fourier coefficients of $f\in L_1(G_m\times G_m)$ are the same as the ones of the martingale $(S_{M_n,M_n}(f):n\in\mathbb{N})$ obtained from f.

For $n=1,2,...$ and a martingale f the Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of order n of the 2-dimensional Vilenkin-Fourier series of the function f is given by

$$\sigma_n(x,y;f)=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}S_{j,j}(x,y;f).$$

If

$$K_n(x,y):=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}D_k(x)\,D_k(y)$$

denotes the 2-dimensional Marcinkiewicz-Fejér kernel of order n then

$$\sigma_n(x,y;f)=\int_{G_m\times G_m}f(t,u)\,K_n(x-t,y-u)\,d\mu(t,u). \tag{2}$$

A bounded measurable function a is a p-atom, if there exists a generalized square $I\times J\in F_n$, such that

a) $\int_{I\times J}a\,d\mu=0$;

b) $\|a\|_\infty\leq\mu(I\times J)^{-1/p}$;

c) supp $a\subset I\times J$.

An operator T which maps the set of martingales into the collection of measurable functions will be called p-quasi-local if there exist a constant $C_p>0$ such that for every p-atom a

$$\int_{G_m\times G_m\setminus(I\times J)}|Ta|^p\leq C_p<\infty,$$

where $I\times J$ is the support of the atom.

3. Marcinkiewicz-Lebesgue points

In the one-dimensional case a point $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$ is called a Lebesgue point of a function f if

$$\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h |f(x+t) - f(x)|dt = 0.$$

It is known that a.e. point $x \in [0, 2\pi)$ is a Lebesgue point of $f \in L_1([0, 2\pi))$ and that the Fejér means of the trigonometric Fourier series of $f \in L_1([0, 2\pi))$ converge to f at each Lebesgue point (see Butzer and Nessel [2]). Feichtinger and Weisz [18] extended these results to two-dimensional trigonometric Fourier series, to arbitrary summability methods and to all $f \in L(\log L)^+\left([0, 2\pi)^2\right)$.

Weisz introduced the one-dimensional Walsh-Lebesgue point in [20]: $x \in G_2$ is a Walsh-Lebesgue point of $f \in L_1(G_2)$, if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} 2^k \int_{I_n(e_k)} |f(x+t) - f(x)|dt = 0.$$

He proved that a.e. point $x \in G_2$ is a Walsh-Lebesgue point of an integrable function f. Moreover, the Fejér means of the Walsh-Fourier series of $f \in L_1(G_2)$ converge to f at each Walsh-Lebesgue point. The higher dimensional extension of this result can be found in [23, 11].

In [11] it is characterized the set of convergence of Vilenkin-Fejér means. We introduced the operator

$$W_A f(x) := \sum_{s=0}^{A-1} M_s \sum_{r_s=1}^{m_s-1} \int_{I_A(x-r_s e_s)} |f(t) - f(x)|d\mu(t).$$

A point $x \in G_m$ is a Vilenkin-Lebesgue point of $f \in L_1(G_m)$, if

$$\lim_{A\to\infty} W_A f(x) = 0.$$

The following are proved in [11].

Theorem GG ([11]). *Let $f \in L_1(G_m)$, where G_m is a bounded Vilenkin group. Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma_n f(x) = f(x)$$

for all Vilenkin-Lebesgue points of f.

Corollary GG1 ([11]). *Let $f \in L_1(G_m)$, where G_m is a bounded Vilenkin group. Then*

$$\lim_{A\to\infty} W_A f(x) = 0 \quad \text{for a. e. } x \in G_m,$$

thus a. e. point is a Vilenkin-Lebesgue point of f.

Corollary GG2 ([11]). *Let $f \in L_1(G_m)$, where G_m is a bounded Vilenkin group. Then*

$$\sigma_n(x;f) \to f(x) \text{ for a.e. } x \in G_m.$$

For two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series Weisz [21] has proved that for all $f \in L_1(G \times G)$ the Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means $\sigma_n f$ converge a.e. to f as $n \to \infty$.

In [13] it is characterized the set of convergence of Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series.

For two-dimensional Vilenkin-Fourier series Gat [4] has proved that for all $f \in L_1(G_m \times G_m)$ the Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means $\sigma_n f$ converge a.e. to f as $n \to \infty$.

In this paper we will characterize the set of convergence of Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means with respect to bounded Vilenkin system. We introduce the Marcinkiewicz-Lebesgue points and prove that a.e. point is a Marcinkiewicz-Lebesgue point of an integrable function f and the Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of the two-dimensional Vilenkin-Fourier series of f converge to f at each Marcinkiewicz-Lebesgue point.

Set

$$W_j(x,y;f) := M_j^{-1} \sum_{q=0}^{j-1} \sum_{k=q}^{j-1} \sum_{u_q=1}^{m_q-1} M_q M_k^2$$

$$\times \int_{I_k \times I_k(u_q e_q)} |f(x-t,y-u) - f(x,y)|\, r_{k+1,j-1}(t,u)\, d\mu(t,u)$$

$$+ M_j^{-1} \sum_{q=0}^{j-1} \sum_{k=q}^{j-1} \sum_{t_q=1}^{m_q-1} M_q M_k^2$$

$$\times \int_{I_k(t_q e_q) \times I_k} |f(x-t,y-u) - f(x,y)|\, r_{k+1,j-1}(t,u)\, d\mu(t,u)$$

$$+ \sum_{s=0}^{j} \sum_{i=s}^{j} M_s M_i \sum_{u_s=1}^{m_s-1}$$

$$\times \int_{I_j \times I_i(u_s e_s)} |f(x-t,y-u) - f(x,y)|\, d\mu(t,u)$$

$$+ \sum_{s=0}^{j} \sum_{i=s}^{j} M_s M_i \sum_{t_s=1}^{m_s-1}$$

$$\times \int_{I_i(t_s e_s) \times I_j} |f(x-t,y-u) - f(x,y)|\, d\mu(t,u),$$

where

$$r_{i,n}(x,y) := \prod_{l=i}^{n}\left(\sum_{s=0}^{m_l-1}\psi_{M_l}^{s}(x+y)\right).$$

By (1) it is easy to show that

$$r_{i,n}(x,y) = \begin{cases} m_i m_{i+1}\cdots m_n, x_j + y_j \,(\mathrm{mod} m_j) = 0, j = i, i+1, ..., n \\ 0, \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

A point $(x,y) \in G_m \times G_m$ is a Marcinkiewicz-Lebesgue point (for bounded Vilenkin group) of $f \in L_1(G_m \times G_m)$, if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} W_n(x,y;f) = 0.$$

Set

$$V_n(x,y;f) := \sum_{q=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=q}^{n-1}\sum_{t_q=1}^{m_q-1}\frac{M_q M_k}{m_k} \tag{3}$$

$$\times \int_{I_k(t_q e_q)\times I_k} f(x-t,y-u)\,\mathbb{I}_{\{t_r+u_r(\mathrm{mod} m_r)=0, r=k+1,\ldots,n-1\}}(t,u)\,d\mu(t,u)$$

$$+\sum_{q=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=q}^{n-1}\sum_{u_q=1}^{m_q-1}\frac{M_q M_k}{m_k}$$

$$\times \int_{I_k\times I_k(u_q e_q)} f(x-t,y-u)\,\mathbb{I}_{\{t_r+u_r(\mathrm{mod} m_r)=0, r=k+1,\ldots,n-1\}}(t,u)\,d\mu(t,u)\,d\mu(t,u)$$

$$+\sum_{s=0}^{n}\sum_{i=s}^{n} M_s M_i \sum_{u_s=1}^{m_s-1}$$

$$\times \int_{I_n\times I_i(u_s e_s)} f(x-t,y-u)\,d\mu(t,u)$$

$$+\sum_{s=0}^{n}\sum_{i=s}^{n} M_s M_i \sum_{t_s=1}^{m_s-1}$$

$$\times \int_{I_i(t_s e_s)\times I_n} f(x-t,y-u)\,d\mu(t,u)$$

$$:= \sum_{j=1}^{4} V_n^{(j)}(x,y;f),$$

where $\mathbb{I}_E$ is characteristic function of the set E.

It is easy to see that $W_n f(x,y) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ if and only if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} V_n(|f - f(x,y)|)(x,y) = 0.$$

Let

$$Vf := \sup_n |V_n f|, \qquad V^{(i)} f := \sup_n |V_n^{(i)} f|, \ i = 1, 2, 3.4.$$

4. Main Results

In this paper we prove that the following are true

Theorem 1. *Let $f \in L_1(G_m \times G_m)$. Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma_n(x, y; f) = f(x, y)$$

for all Marcinkiewicz-Lebesgue points of f.

Theorem 2. *Let $p > 1/2$. Then*

$$\|Vf\|_p \le c_p \|f\|_p \quad (f \in H_p(G_m \times G_m))$$

and

$$\sup_\lambda \lambda \mu \{Vf > \lambda\} \le c \|f\|_1 .$$

It is easy to show that $\lim_{n\to\infty} W_n(x, y; f) = 0$ for every Vilenkin polynomials and $(x, y) \in G_m \times G_m$. Since the Vilenkin polynomials are dense in $L_1(G_m \times G_m)$, Theorem 2 and the usual density argument (see Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund [16]) imply

Corollary 1. *Let $f \in L_1(G_m \times G_m)$. Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} W_n(x, y; f) = 0 \quad a.\ e.\ \ (x, y) \in G_m \times G_m,$$

thus a. e. points is a Marcinkiewicz-Lebesgue point of f.

Corollary 2. *(Gat [4]) Let $f \in L_1(G_m \times G_m)$. Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma_n(x, y; f) = f(x, y) \quad a.\ e.\ \ (x, y) \in G_m \times G_m.$$

5. Auxiliary Propositions

Theorem W. *(Weisz [22]) Suppose that the operator T is σ-sublinear and p-quasi-local for each $0 < p_0 < p \le 1$. If T is bounded from $L_\infty(G_m \times G_m)$ to $L_\infty(G_m \times G_m)$, then*

$$\|Tf\|_p \le c_p \|f\|_p \qquad (f \in H_p(G_m \times G_m))$$

for every $0 < p_0 < p < \infty$. In particular for $f \in L_1(G_m \times G_m)$, holds

$$\|Tf\|_{weak_L_1(G_m \times G_m)} \le c \|f\|_1 .$$

Lemma 1. *We have*

$$M_A K_{M_A}(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{A-1} r_{k+1,A-1}(x, y) M_k \sum_{r=1}^{m_k-1} \left(\sum_{q=0}^{r-1} \psi_{M_k}^q(x) \right) \left(\sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \psi_{M_k}^s(y) \right)$$
$$\times D_{M_k}(x) D_{M_k}(y)$$

$$+\sum_{k=0}^{A-1} r_{k+1,A-1}(x,y) \sum_{r=1}^{m_k-1} \left(\sum_{q=0}^{r-1} \psi_{M_k}^q(x) \right) \psi_{M_k}^r(y) D_{M_k}(x) M_k K_{M_k}(y)$$

$$+\sum_{k=0}^{A-1} r_{k+1,A-1}(x,y) \sum_{r=1}^{m_k-1} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \psi_{M_k}^s(y) \right) \psi_{M_k}^r(x) D_{M_k}(y) M_k K_{M_k}(x)$$

$$+r_{1,A-1}(x+y).$$

Proof of Lemma 1. Since (see [7])

$$D_{j+rM_A}(x) = \left(\sum_{q=0}^{r-1} \psi_{M_A}^q(x) \right) D_{M_A}(x) + \psi_{M_A}^r(x) D_j(x)$$

$$j = 0, 1, ..., M_A - 1, r = 1, 2, ..., m_{A-1} - 1,$$

we can write

$$M_A K_{M_A}(x,y) = \sum_{j=0}^{M_A-1} D_j(x) D_j(y)$$

$$= M_{A-1} K_{M_{A-1}}(x,y) + \sum_{r=1}^{m_{A-1}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M_{A-1}-1} D_{j+rM_{A-1}}(x) D_{j+rM_{A-1}}(y)$$

$$= M_{A-1} K_{M_{A-1}}(x,y) + \sum_{r=1}^{m_{A-1}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M_{A-1}-1} \left(\sum_{q=0}^{r-1} \psi_{M_{A-1}}^q(x) \right) D_{M_{A-1}}(x)$$

$$\times \left(\sum_{q=0}^{r-1} \psi_{M_{A-1}}^q(y) \right) D_{M_{A-1}}(y)$$

$$+ \sum_{r=1}^{m_{A-1}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M_{A-1}-1} \psi_{M_{A-1}}^r(x) \psi_{M_{A-1}}^r(y) D_j(x) D_j(y)$$

$$+ \sum_{r=1}^{m_{A-1}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M_{A-1}-1} \left(\sum_{q=0}^{r-1} \psi_{M_{A-1}}^q(x) \right) D_{M_{A-1}}(x)$$

$$\times \psi_{M_{A-1}}^r(y) D_j(y)$$

$$+ \sum_{r=1}^{m_{A-1}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M_{A-1}-1} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \psi_{M_{A-1}}^s(y) \right) D_{M_{A-1}}(y)$$

$$\times \psi_{M_{A-1}}^r(x) D_j(x)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{r=0}^{m_{A-1}-1} \psi_{M_{A-1}}^r(x+y) \right) M_{A-1} K_{M_{A-1}}(x,y)$$

$$+M_{A-1}\sum_{r=1}^{m_{A-1}-1}\left(\sum_{q=0}^{r-1}\psi_{M_{A-1}}^{q}(x)\right)\left(\sum_{s=0}^{r-1}\psi_{M_{A-1}}^{s}(y)\right)D_{M_{A-1}}(x)\,D_{M_{A-1}}(y)$$
$$+\sum_{r=1}^{m_{A-1}-1}\left(\sum_{q=0}^{r-1}\psi_{M_{A-1}}^{q}(x)\right)\psi_{M_{A-1}}^{r}(y)\,D_{M_{A-1}}(x)\,M_{A-1}K_{M_{A-1}}(y)$$
$$+\sum_{r=1}^{m_{A-1}-1}\left(\sum_{s=0}^{r-1}\psi_{M_{A-1}}^{s}(y)\right)\psi_{M_{A-1}}^{r}(x)\,D_{M_{A-1}}(y)\,M_{A-1}K_{M_{A-1}}(x).$$

Iterating this equality we obtain the proof of Lemma 1. □

By results in [17] we have

$$|K_{M_A}(x)|\lesssim\sum_{s=0}^{A}\frac{M_s}{M_A}\sum_{x_s=1}^{m_s-1}D_{M_A}(x-x_se_s) \tag{4}$$

and

$$n\,|K_n(x)|\lesssim\sum_{j=0}^{A}M_j\left|K_{M_j}(x)\right|,M_A\le n<M_{A+1}. \tag{5}$$

Then from (4) and (5) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} n\,|K_n(x)| &\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{A}\sum_{s=0}^{j}M_s\sum_{x_s=1}^{m_s-1}D_{M_j}(x-x_se_s) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{s=0}^{A}M_s\sum_{j=s}^{A}\sum_{x_s=1}^{m_s-1}D_{M_j}(x-x_se_s). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Lemma 2. *Let $M_A\le n<M_{A+1}$. Then wee have*

$$\begin{aligned} n\,|K_n(x,y)|\lesssim&\sum_{j=0}^{A}\sum_{q=0}^{j-1}\sum_{k=q}^{j-1}r_{k+1,j-1}(x,y)\\ &\times M_qD_{M_k}(x)\sum_{y_q=1}^{m_q-1}D_{M_k}(y-y_qe_q)\\ &+\sum_{j=0}^{A}\sum_{q=0}^{j-1}\sum_{k=q}^{j-1}r_{k+1,j-1}(x,y)\\ &\times M_qD_{M_k}(y)\sum_{x_q=1}^{m_q-1}D_{M_k}(x-x_qe_q)\\ &+\sum_{j=0}^{A}D_{M_j}(x)\sum_{s=0}^{j}M_s\sum_{i=s}^{j}\sum_{y_s=1}^{m_s-1}D_{M_i}(y-y_se_s) \end{aligned}$$

$$+\sum_{j=0}^{A} D_{M_j}(y) \sum_{s=0}^{j} M_s \sum_{i=s}^{j} \sum_{x_s=1}^{m_s-1} D_{M_i}(x - x_s e_s).$$

proof of Lemma 2. It is proved in [9] that

$$\begin{aligned} n\left|K_n(x,y)\right| &\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{A} M_j \left|K_{M_j}(x,y)\right| \\ &+\sum_{j=0}^{A} D_{M_j}(x) \max_{1\le n\le n^{(j)}} n\left|K_n(y)\right| \\ &+\sum_{j=0}^{A} D_{M_j}(y) \max_{1\le n\le n^{(j)}} n\left|K_n(x)\right|, \end{aligned}$$

where $n^{(j)} := \sum_{k=0}^{j} n_k M_k$.

Then from Lemma 1 and estimation (5) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} n\left|K_n(x,y)\right| &\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{A}\sum_{k=0}^{j-1} r_{k+,j-1}(x,y)\, D_{M_k}(x)\, M_k \left|K_{M_k}(y)\right| \\ &+\sum_{j=0}^{A}\sum_{k=0}^{j-1} r_{k+1,j-1}(x,y)\, D_{M_k}(y)\, M_k \left|K_{M_k}(x)\right| \\ &+\sum_{j=0}^{A} D_{M_j}(x) \max_{1\le n\le n^{(j)}} n\left|K_n(y)\right| \\ &+\sum_{j=0}^{A} D_{M_j}(y) \max_{1\le n\le n^{(j)}} n\left|K_n(x)\right| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{A}\sum_{k=0}^{j-1} r_{k+1,j-1}(x,y)\, D_{M_k}(x) \\ &\quad\times \sum_{q=0}^{k} M_q \sum_{y_q=1}^{m_q-1} D_{M_k}(y - y_q e_q) \\ &+\sum_{j=0}^{A}\sum_{k=0}^{j-1} r_{k+1,j-1}(x,y)\, D_{M_k}(y) \\ &\quad\times \sum_{q=0}^{k} M_q \sum_{x_q=1}^{m_q-1} D_{M_k}(x - x_q e_q) \\ &+\sum_{j=0}^{A} D_{M_j}(x) \sum_{s=0}^{j} M_s \sum_{i=s}^{j} \sum_{y_s=1}^{m_s-1} D_{M_i}(y - y_s e_s) \end{aligned}$$

$$+\sum_{j=0}^{A} D_{M_j}(y) \sum_{s=0}^{j} M_s \sum_{i=s}^{j} \sum_{x_s=1}^{m_s-1} D_{M_i}(x - x_s e_s).$$

Lemma 2 is proved. □

6. Proofs of Main Results

Proof of Theorem 1. We can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\sigma_n(x,y;f) - f(x,y)| \\
&\leq \int_{G_m \times G_m} |f(x-t,y-u) - f(x,y)|\, |K_n(t,u)|\, d\mu(t,u) \\
&\leq \frac{c}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{A} \sum_{q=0}^{j-1} \sum_{k=q}^{j-1} \sum_{u_q=1}^{m_q-1} \int_{G_m \times G_m} |f(x-t,y-u) - f(x,y)| \\
&\quad \times r_{k+1,j-1}(t,u) M_q D_{M_k}(t) D_{M_k}(u - u_q e_q) d\mu(t,u) \\
&\quad + \frac{c}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{A} \sum_{q=0}^{j-1} \sum_{k=q}^{j-1} \sum_{t_q=1}^{m_q-1} \int_{G_m \times G_m} |f(x-t,y-u) - f(x,y)| \\
&\quad \times r_{k+1,j-1}(t,u) M_q D_{M_k}(t - t_q e_q) D_{M_k}(u) d\mu(t,u) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{A} \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} \sum_{i=s}^{j} \sum_{u_s=1}^{m_s-1} M_s \int_{G_m \times G_m} |f(x-t,y-u) - f(x,y)| \\
&\times \quad D_{M_j}(t)\, D_{M_i}(u - u_s e_s)\, d\mu(t,u) \\
&\quad + \frac{c}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{A} \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} \sum_{i=s}^{j} \sum_{t_s=1}^{m_s-1} M_s \int_{G_m \times G_m} |f(x-t,y-u) - f(x,y)| \\
&\times \quad D_{M_j}(t - t_s e_s)\, D_{M_i}(u)\, d\mu(t,u) \\
&= \frac{c}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{A} \sum_{q=0}^{j-1} \sum_{k=q}^{j-1} \sum_{u_q=1}^{m_q-1} M_q M_k^2 \int_{I_k \times I_k(u_q e_q)} |f(x-t,y-u) - f(x,y)| \\
&\quad \times r_{k+1,j-1}(t,u) d\mu(t,u) \\
&\quad + \frac{c}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{A} \sum_{q=0}^{j-1} \sum_{k=q}^{j-1} \sum_{u_q=1}^{m_q-1} M_q M_k^2 \int_{I_k(t_q e_q) \times I_k} |f(x-t,y-u) - f(x,y)| \\
&\quad \times r_{k+1,j-1}(t,u) d\mu(t,u)
\end{aligned}$$

$$+\frac{c}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{A}\sum_{s=0}^{j}\sum_{i=s}^{j}\sum_{u_s=1}^{m_s-1}M_qM_iM_j$$

$$\times\int\limits_{I_j\times I_i(u_se_s)}\left|f\left(x-t,y-u\right)-f\left(x,y\right)\right|d\mu\left(t,u\right)$$

$$+\frac{c}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{A}\sum_{s=0}^{j}\sum_{i=s}^{j}\sum_{t_s=1}^{m_s-1}M_qM_iM_j$$

$$\times\int\limits_{I_i(t_se_s)\times I_j}\left|f\left(x-t,y-u\right)-f\left(x,y\right)\right|d\mu\left(t,u\right)$$

$$\leq\frac{c}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{A}M_jW_j\left(x,y;f\right)$$

which tends to 0 as $n\to\infty$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. □

Proof of Theorem 2. Since

$$Vf\leq\sum_{j=1}^{4}V^{(j)}f,$$

by Theorem W, the proof of Theorem 2 will be complete if we show that the operators $V^{(i)}\ ,i=1,2,3,4$ are p-quasi-local for each $1/2<p\leq1$ and bounded from $L_\infty(G_m\times G_m)$ to $L_\infty(G_m\times G_m)$.

It follows from (3) that

$$\left\|Vf\right\|_\infty\leq c\left\|f\right\|_\infty\sup_n\sum_{q=0}^{n}\sum_{k=q}^{n}\frac{M_qM_k}{M_kM_n}\leq c\left\|f\right\|_\infty.$$

Let a be an arbitrary atom with support $I_N\left(z',z''\right)=I_N(z')\times I_N(z'')$. It is easy to see that $V_n(a)=0$ if $n<N$. Therefore we can suppose that $n\geq N$. We may assume that $z'=z''=0$. Hence

$$\operatorname{supp}\left(a\right)\subset I_N\times I_N. \tag{7}$$

Step 1: Integrating over $\overline{I}_N\times\overline{I}_N$. If $q\geq N$ then $y-u\notin I_N$ by (3). Hence

$$a\left(x-t,y-u\right)=0.$$

Consequently, we can write

$$V_n^{(1)}\left(x,y;a\right):=\sum_{q=0}^{N-1}\sum_{k=q}^{N-1}\frac{M_kM_q}{m_k}\sum_{t_q=1}^{m_q-1}$$

$$\int\limits_{I_k(t_qe_q)\times I_k}a\left(x-t,y-u\right)\mathbb{I}_{\{t_r+u_r(\mathrm{mod}m_r)=0,r=k+1,\ldots,n-1\}}\left(t,u\right)d\mu\left(t,u\right).$$

Then from (7) $a\left(x-t,y-u\right)\neq 0$ implies that

$$t=\left(0,...,0,t_q,0,...,0,x_k,...,x_{N-1},t_N,...\right)$$

$$x=\left(0,...,0,t_q,0,...,0,x_k,...,x_{N-1},...\right)$$

$$u=\left(0,...,0,y_k,m_{k+1}-x_{k+1},...,m_{N-1}-x_{N-1},u_N,...\right)$$

$$y=\left(0,...,0,y_k,m_{k+1}-x_{k+1},...,m_{N-1}-x_{N-1},y_N,...\right).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\left|V_n^{(1)}\left(x,y;a\right)\right| &\lessapprox \frac{M_N^{2/p}}{M_N^2}\sum_{q=0}^{N-1}\sum_{k=q}^{N-1}M_kM_q\mathbb{I}_{I_k(t_qe_q)}\left(x\right)\\ &\quad\times\mathbb{I}_{I_N(0,...,0,y_k,m_{k+1}-x_{k+1},...,m_{N-1}-x_{N-1})}\left(y\right)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(8)\quad \int\limits_{\overline{I}_N\times\overline{I}_N}\left(V^{(1)}\left(x,y;a\right)\right)^p d\mu\left(x,y\right) &\leq \frac{c_pM_N^2}{M_N^{2p}}\sum_{q=0}^{N-1}\sum_{k=q}^{N-1}M_k^pM_q^p\frac{1}{M_k}\frac{1}{M_N}\\ &\leq c_p\frac{M_N}{M_N^{2p}}\sum_{q=0}^{N-1}M_q^p\sum_{k=q}^{N-1}M_k^{p-1}\\ &\leq c_p<\infty \qquad (1/2<p\leq 1).\end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we can prove that

$$(9)\quad \int\limits_{\overline{I}_N\times\overline{I}_N}\left(V^{(2)}\left(x,y;a\right)\right)^p d\mu\left(x,y\right)\leq c_p<\infty \qquad (1/2<p\leq 1).$$

Since $x+t\notin I_N$ we obtain,

$$(10)\quad V_n^{(3)}\left(x,y;a\right)=0.$$

Analogously, we can prove that

$$(11)\quad V_n^{(4)}\left(x,y;a\right)=0.$$

Combining (3) and (8-11) we obtain

$$(12)\quad \int\limits_{\overline{I}_N\times\overline{I}_N}\left(V\left(x,y;a\right)\right)^p d\mu\left(x,y\right)\leq c_p \ \ (1/2<p\leq 1).$$

Step 2: Integrating over $\overline{I}_N \times I_N$. Since $V_n^{(1)}(x,y;a) = 0$ for $q \geq N$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(13)\quad & V_n^{(1)}(x,y;a) \\
= & \sum_{q=0}^{N-1}\sum_{k=q}^{N-1}\frac{M_kM_q}{m_k}\sum_{t_q=1}^{m_q-1} \\
& \int\limits_{I_k(t_qe_q)\times I_k} a(x-t,y-u)\,\mathbb{I}_{\{t_r+u_r(\mathrm{mod}m_r)=0,r=k+1,\ldots,n-1\}}(t,u)\,d\mu(t,u) \\
& +\sum_{q=0}^{N-1}\sum_{k=N}^{n}\frac{M_kM_q}{m_k}\sum_{t_q=1}^{m_q-1} \\
& \int\limits_{I_k(t_qe_q)\times I_k} a(x-t,y-u)\,\mathbb{I}_{\{t_r+u_r(\mathrm{mod}m_r)=0,r=k+1,\ldots,n-1\}}(t,u)\,d\mu(t,u) \\
= & V_n^{(1,1)}(x,y;a)+V_n^{(1,2)}(x,y;a).
\end{aligned}
$$

From (7) $V_n^{(1,1)}(x,y;a)\neq 0$ implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
u &= (0,...,0,u_N,...) \\
y &= (0,...,0,y_N,...). \\
t &= (0,...,0,t_q,0,...,0,t_k,0,...,0,t_N,...) \\
x &= (0,...,0,t_q,0,...,0,t_k,0,...,0,x_N,...)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|V_n^{(1,1)}(x,y;a)\right| \lesssim & \frac{M_N^{2/p}}{M_N^2}\sum_{q=0}^{N-1}\sum_{k=q}^{N-1}M_kM_q\sum_{t_q=1}^{m_q-1}\sum_{t_k=1}^{m_k-1} \\
& \mathbb{I}_{I_N(e_qt_q+e_kt_k)}(x)\,\mathbb{I}_{I_N}(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
(14)\qquad \int\limits_{\overline{I}_N\times I_N}\left(\sup_n\left|V_n^{(1,1)}(x,y;a)\right|\right)^p \leq \frac{c_pM_N^2}{M_N^{2p}}\sum_{q=0}^{N-1}\sum_{k=q}^{N-1}M_k^pM_q^p\frac{1}{M_N^2}\leq c_p.
$$

From (7) $V_n^{(1,2)}(x,y;a)\neq 0$ implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
t &= (0,...,0,t_q,0,...,0,t_k,...,t_{n-1},t_n,...) \\
x &= (0,...,0,t_q,0,...,0,x_N,...) \\
u &= (0,...,0,u_k,\alpha_{k+1},...,\alpha_{n-1},u_n,...) \\
y &= (0,...,0,y_N,...),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\alpha_j := \begin{cases} m_j - t_j, t_j \neq 0 \\ 0, t_j = 0, j = k+1,...,n-1. \end{cases}
$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\left|V_n^{(1,2)}(x,y;a)\right| &\lesssim \frac{M_N^{2/p}}{M_N M_n}\sum_{q=0}^{N-1}\sum_{k=q}^{N-1}M_k M_q\sum_{t_q=1}^{m_q-1}\mathbb{I}_{I_N(e_q t_q)}(x)\,\mathbb{I}_{I_N}(y)\\ &\lesssim \frac{cM_N^{2/p}}{M_n}\sum_{q=0}^{N-1}M_q\mathbb{I}_{I_N(e_q t_q)}(x)\,\mathbb{I}_{I_N}(y)\end{aligned}$$

and $(n \geq N)$

(15)

$$\int\limits_{\overline{I}_N\times I_N}\left(\sup_n\left|V_n^{(1,2)}(x,y;a)\right|\right)^p d\mu(x,y) \leq \frac{c_p M_N^2}{M_N^2 M_N^p}\sum_{q=0}^{N-1}M_q^p \leq c_p < \infty.$$

Combining (13)-(15) we conclude that

$$\int\limits_{\overline{I}_N\times I_N}\left(\left|V^{(1)}(x,y;a)\right|\right)^p d\mu(x,y) \leq c_p < \infty. \tag{16}$$

Let $q < N$. Then it is easy to show that $y-u \notin I_N$ and consequently,

$$a(x-t,y-u)=0,$$

$$V_n^{(2)}(x,y;a)=0.$$

Let $q \geq N$. Then $x-t \notin I_N$ and

$$V_n^{(2)}(x,y;a)=0.$$

Hence

$$V^{(2)}(x,y;a)=0. \tag{17}$$

Analogously, we can prove that

$$V^{(4)}(x,y;a)=0. \tag{18}$$

The estimation of $V_n^{(3)}(x,y;a)$ is analogous to the estimation of $V_n^{(1)}(x,y;a)$ and we can prove that

$$\int\limits_{\overline{I}_N\times I_N}\left(\left|V^{(3)}(x,y;a)\right|\right)^p d\mu(x,y) \leq c_p < \infty \ \ (1/2 < p \leq 1). \tag{19}$$

Combining (16)-(19) we conclude that

$$\int\limits_{\overline{I}_N\times I_N}\left(|V(x,y;a)|\right)^p d\mu(x,y) \leq c_p < \infty \ \ (1/2 < p \leq 1). \tag{20}$$

Step 3: Integrating over $I_N \times \overline{I}_N$. This case is analogous to the step 2 and we obtain that

$$\int_{I_N \times \overline{I}_N} (|V(x,y;a)|)^p \, d\mu(x,y) \leq c_p < \infty \ (1/2 < p \leq 1). \tag{21}$$

Combining (12), (20) and (21) we complete the proof of Theorem 2. □

References

[1] G. N. Agaev, N.Ya. Vilenkin, G.M. Dzhafarli, and A.I. Rubinshtejn, Multiplicative systems of functions and harmonic analysis on zero-dimensional groups, Baku, Ehlm, 1981 (in Russian).

[2] P. L. Butzer and R. J. Nessel, Fourier Analysis and Approximation, Birkuser, Basel, 1971.

[3] J. Fine, Cesàro summability of Walsh-Fourier series. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 41 (1955), 558-591.

[4] G. Gát, Convergence of Marcinkiewicz means of integrable functions with respect to two-dimensional Vilenkin systems. Georgian Math. J. 11 (2004), no. 3, 467–478.

[5] G. Gát and U. Goginava, Almost everywhere strong summability of double Walsh-Fourier series, Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Analysis 50, 1 (2015), 1-13.

[6] G. Gát, U. Goginava and G. Karagulyan, Almost everywhere strong summability of Marcinkiewicz means of double Walsh-Fourier series. Anal. Math. 40 (2014), no. 4, 243–266.

[7] B. I. Golubov, A. V. Efimov, and V. A. Skvortsov, *Series and transformations of Walsh,* Nauka, Moscow, 1987 (Russian); English transl.: Kluwer Acad. publ; 1991.

[8] U. Goginava, Almost everywhere convergence of (C,α)-means of cubical partial sums of d-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series. J. Approx. Theory 141 (2006), no. 1, 8–28.

[9] U. Goginava, Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of double Vilenkin-Fourier series. Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 44 (2007), no. 1, 97–115.

[10] U. Goginava, The weak type inequality for the Walsh system. Studia Math. 185 (2008), no. 1, 35–48.

[11] U. Goginava and L. Gogoladze, Pointwise summability of Vilenkin-Fourier series. Publ. Math. Debrecen 79 (2011), no. 1-2, 89–108.

[12] U. Goginava and L. Gogoladze, Strong approximation by Marcinkiewicz means of two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series. Constr. Approx. 35 (2012), no. 1, 1–19.

[13] U. Goginava and F. Weisz, Pointwise convergence of Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series. Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 49 (2012), no. 2, 236–253.

[14] H. Lebesgue. Recherches sur la convergence des séries de Fourier. *Math. Annalen*, 61:251–280, 1905.

[15] J. Marcinkiewicz, Sur une méthode remarquable de sommation des séries doubles de Fourier. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa*, 8:149–160, 1939.

[16] J. Marcinkiewicz and A. Zygmund, On the summability of double Fourier series, Fund. Math. 32 (1939), 122-132.

[17] J. Pál and P. Simon, On a generalization of the concept of derivative. Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 29 (1977), no. 1-2, 155–164.

[18] H. G. Feichtinger and F. Weisz, Wiener amalgams and pointwise summability of Fourier transforms and Fourier series, Math. Proc. Comb. Phil. Soc, 140 (2006), 509-536.

[19] F. Schipp, Über gewissen maximaloperatoren, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Sect. Math., 18(1975), 189-195.

[20] F. Weisz, Convergence of singular integrals., Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Sect. Math. 32 (1989), 243-256.
[21] F. Weisz, Convergence of double Walsh-Fourier series and Hardy spaces. *Approx. Theory & its. Appl.* 17, 2(2001), 32-44.
[22] F. Weisz, Summability of multi-dimensional Fourier series and Hardy space, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2002.
[23] F. Weisz. Walsh-Lebesgue points of multi-dimensional functions. *Anal. Math.*, 34:307–324, 2008.
[24] L. Zhizhiashvili, A generalization of a theorem of Marcinkiewicz. *Math. USSR, Izvestija*, 2:1065–1075, 1968. (in Russian).
[25] L. Zhizhiashvili, *Trigonometric Fourier Series and their Conjugates*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1996.
[26] A. Zygmund. *Trigonometric Series*. Cambridge Press, London, 3rd edition, 2002.

U. Goginava, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences, Ivane Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Chavchavadze str. 1, Tbilisi 0128, Georgia

E-mail address: zazagoginava@gmail.com